#### Roll No. \_\_\_\_\_\_ (Do not write anything on question paper except Roll No.) [This paper consists of FIVE Pages]

# Jagan Institute of Management Studies End-Term Examination, September-October, 2017 Trimester I – PGDM (RM) 2017-19

## Marketing Management I ET\_RM\_MM-I\_2909

Time: 3 Hrs.

*M. Marks: 70* 

#### **INSTRUCTIONS:** Attempt any FIVE questions including Q1 & Q7 which are compulsory.

**Q1** The Real Estate Research Company gathers a variety of primary and secondary data related to the housing needs, wants and purchases of consumers in a large metropolitan area on the eastern seaboard. The company then sells the data in a number of different formats to real estate agents, real estate developers, individuals, and institutions that lend money to real estate businesses, investment institutions, individual investors and entrepreneurs, and others. Company management is planning a new marketing research study of the consumer housing market. The new study will gather data regarding the following:

Home buying plans and preferences; existence of different market segments; size, type, and style of home wanted; financial considerations relative to desired home features; information on current home or apartment; financial qualifications for home ownership; and other important demographic data. The company has a list of names and addresses of people who currently subscribe to New Home magazines, which include pictures and descriptions of homes available for purchase. The company plans to send a mail questionnaire to the subscriber list they have available. Design a questionnaire the company can use to obtain the desired information.

- **Q 2** Yum Brands operate three different restaurant brands KFC, Pizza Hut and Taco Bell in Indian market.in today's fast food market there are other dominant players like Dominoes operating in Indian market. What kind of segmentation is the company presently adopting for the three brands? Do you feel it is drastically different from each other? Support your answer with suitable justification.
- **Q3** Elaborate on components of '**product mix'** and '**promotion mix'** using any service industry brand of your choice.

1

12

12

16

- **Q** 4 Indian customers are very price sensitive and buy products which offer value for money. They don't prefer to buy eco-friendly/ Green products as they are quite expensive and not widely promoted. How can green products create a market for themselves in India? Suggest a suitable marketing mix strategy for this category of products by taking a particular eco-friendly product in consideration in Indian context.
- **Q** 5 As a member of a management consulting group, you have been retained by a business-to-business office equipment manufacturer. The company's product line consists of the five strategic business units (SBUs) shown below. Use BCG portfolio analysis to determine each SBU's relative market share and whether the company as a whole is healthy. Describe the nature of the BCG Market Growth / Market Share matrix to top management and make recommendations as to future strategies

Strategic Business	Dollar Sales (in	Number of competitors	Dollar Sales of the top3	Market growth
Unit (SBU)	millions)		(in millions)	rate
Α	0.5	8	0.7,0.7,0.5	15%
В	1.6	22	1.6,1.6,1.0	18
С	1.8	14	1.8,1.2,1.0	7
D	3.2	5	3.2, 0.8, 0.7	4
Е	0.5	10	2.5,1.8,1.7	4

**Q 6** Compaq Computer Corporation made a name for itself in the early 1980s by becoming the first computer company to manufacture and market IBM "Clones." Observers point to Compaq's ability to bring out products quickly and to work with other industry giants, such as Intel, as keys to its success.

How would you expect Compaq to organize its operations if it chose to be guided by the production concept, selling Concept and Marketing Concept?

**Q** 7 Read the case and answer the questions given at the end.

### **Coke Lore - The Real Story of New Coke**

To hear some tell it, April 23, 1985, was a day that will live in marketing infamy. That's the day The Coca-Cola Company took arguably the biggest risk in consumer goods history, announcing that it was changing the formula for the world's most popular soft drink, and spawning consumer angst the likes of which no business has ever seen. The Coca-Cola Company introduced reformulated Coca-Cola®, often referred to as "new Coke®," marking the first formula change in 99 years. The company didn't set out to create the firestorm of consumer

12

12

protest that ensued; instead, The Coca-Cola Company intended to reenergize its Coca-Cola brand and the cola category in its largest market, the United States.

That firestorm ended with the return of the original formula, now called Coca-Cola classic<sup>®</sup>, a few months later. The return of original formula Coca-Cola on July 11, 1985, put the cap on 79 days that revolutionized the soft-drink industry, transformed The Coca-Cola Company and stands today as testimony to the power of taking intelligent risks, even when they don't quite work as intended.

"We set out to change the dynamics of sugar colas in the United States, and we did exactly that -- albeit not in the way we had planned,"

then chairman and chief executive officer Roberto Goizueta said in 1995 at a special employee event honoring the 10-year anniversary of "new Coke."

"But the most significant result of 'new Coke' -- by far," Mr. Goizueta said, "was that it sent an incredibly powerful signal ... a signal that we really were ready to do whatever was necessary to build value for the owners of our business."

The story of "new Coke" is widely recalled, but the context is often forgotten. In 1985, The Coca-Cola Company's share lead over its chief competitor, in its flagship market, with its flagship product, had been slowly slipping for 15 consecutive years. The cola category in general was lethargic. Consumer

preference for Coca-Cola was dipping, as was consumer awareness. That changed, of course, in the summer of 1985 as the consumer outcry over "new Coke" was replaced by consumer affection for Coca-Cola classic.

The fabled secret formula for Coca-Cola was changed, adopting a formula preferred in taste tests of nearly 200,000 consumers. What these tests didn't show, of course, was the bond consumers felt with their Coca-Cola -- something they didn't want anyone, including The Coca-Cola Company, tampering with. The events of the spring and summer of '85 -- pundits blasting the "marketing blunder of the century," consumers hoarding the "old" Coke, calls of protests by the thousands -changed forever The Coca-Cola Company's thinking.

At the 10-year anniversary celebration, Mr. Goizueta characterized the "new Coke" decision as a prime example of "taking intelligent risks." He urged all employees to take intelligent risks in their jobs, saying it was critical to the company's success. Many of the employees there that day had worked for the company in 1985 and remembered the thousands of calls and consumer complaints.





Calls flooded in not just to the 800-GET-COKE phone line, but to Coca-Cola offices across the United States. By June 1985, The Coca-Cola Company was getting 1,500 calls a day on its consumer hotline, compared with 400 a day before the taste change. People seemed to hold any Coca-Cola employee -- from security officers at our headquarters building to their neighbors who worked for Coke -- personally \_\_\_\_\_ responsible for the change.



Mr. Goizueta received a letter addressed to "Chief Dodo, The Coca-Cola Company." He often said he was more upset that it was actually delivered to him! Another person wrote to him asking for his autograph -- because, in years to come, the signature of "one of the dumbest executives in American business history" would be worth a fortune. When the taste change was announced, some consumers

panicked, filling their basements with cases of Coke®. A man in San Antonio, Texas, drove to a local bottler and bought \$1,000 worth of Coca-Cola. Some people got depressed over the loss of their favorite soft drink. Suddenly everyone was talking about Coca-Cola, realizing what an important role it played in his or her life.

Protest groups -- such as the Society for the Preservation of the Real Thing and Old Cola Drinkers of America (which claimed to have recruited 100,000 in a drive to bring back "old" Coke) -- popped up around the country. Songs were written to honor the old taste. Protesters at a Coca-Cola event in downtown Atlanta in May carried signs with "We want the real thing" and "Our children will never know refreshment."

When the announcement of the return of "old" Coca-Cola was made in July 1985, those hoarding as many as 900 bottles in their basements could stop their self-imposed rationing and begin to drink the product as they always had -- as often as they'd like. That July day, the story that the "old" Coca-Cola was returning to store shelves led two network newscasts and made the front page of virtually every major newspaper. Consumers applauded the decision. In just two days after the

announcement of Coca-Cola classic, The Coca-Cola Company received 31,600 telephone calls on the hotline. Coca-Cola was obviously more than just a soft drink.



In 1985, Coca-Cola classic was introduced alongside Coca-Cola ("new Coke"), and the two brands had distinct advertising campaigns,



with the youthful, leading edge "Catch the Wave" campaign for the new taste of Coke and the emotional "Red, White and You" for Coca-Cola classic.

Later, the name of the new taste of Coca-Cola was changed to Coke II; the product is no longer available in the United States. The events of 1985 changed forever the dynamics of the soft-drink industry and the success of The Coca-Cola Company, as the Coca-Cola brand soared to new heights and consumers continued to remember the love they have for Coca-Cola.

### **Questions:**

- a) Develop segmentation profile for Coke?
- **b)** Describe the targeting and positioning of Coke with respect to above case study.

**18** 

\*\*\*\*\*\*